



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/737,190	12/14/2000	Tetsuo Shibuya	14043 (JP919990270US1)	9159	
7:	590 01/07/2003				
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530			EXAMINER		
			SMITH, CAROLYN L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
		DATE MAILED: 01/07/2003 12			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	J	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summers	09/737,190		SHIBUYA, TETSUO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Carolyn L Smith		1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
I HE - Extra afte - If th - If N - Fail - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayment or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 1.136(a). In no event, however, 1.136(b). In no event, however, 2. It is the statutory minimum 3. It is the statutory minimum 4. It is the statutory minimum 5. It is the statutory minimum 6. It is the statutory mi	may a reply be timen of thirty (30) days MONTHS from ABANDONE	s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)		his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	<u> </u>							
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers	,						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
* S	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment		•	55					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notic	e of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	4	ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 12				

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant is hereby notified that the required timing for the correction of drawings has changed. See the last 6 lines on the sheet which is attached titled "Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01 or earlier)". It is noted that a PTO Form 948 is mailed herewith. Due to the above notification Applicant is required to submit drawing corrections within the time period set for responding to this Office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR § 1.821 (a)(1) and (a)(2). See for example. line 24 of page 41 in the specification and elsewhere. However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR § 1.821 through 1.825, because it lacks SEQ ID Nos cited along with each sequence in the specification. Applicant(s) are required to submit a new computer readable form sequence listing, a paper copy, or CD-ROM for the specification, statements under 37 CFR § 1.821 (f) and (g). Applicant(s) are given the same response time regarding this failure to comply as that set forth to respond to this office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or a notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 1631

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claim 1, drawn to a method for changing array information based on complementary variables, classified in class 702, subclass 19.
- II. Claims 2-8, drawn to a method for analyzing the structure of an array, classified in class 702, subclass 19. If this Group is elected then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- Claim 9, drawn to an apparatus for analyzing the structure of an array, classified in class700, subclass 90.
- IV. Claim 10, drawn to storage medium, classified in class 211, subclass 41.12.
- V. Claim 11, drawn to a transmission medium, classified in class 370, subclass 235.

Specie Election Requirement for Group II:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

For Group II:

Specie A: utilizing a suffix tree

Specie B: not utilizing a suffix tree

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. This distinctness or independence of a suffix tree usage versus no suffix tree usage (Group II species) is because the procedure for structural analysis among arrays differs depending on whether a suffix tree is utilized or not. These separate entity types are often

Art Unit: 1631

separately characterized and published in literature, thus adding to the search burden if both species were searched together.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Groups I-V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the apparatus of Group III, the storage medium

Art Unit: 1631

of Group IV. and the transmission medium of Group V may be utilized in distinct usages as needed in Group I for a method for changing array information based on complementary variables, in a method for analyzing the structure of an array as in Group II, or alternatively, in storing other information as the apparatus, storage medium, and transmission all involve the use of a computer. All of the products and usages are distinct as requiring distinct and different functions thereof without overlapping search due to different subject matter. This lack of overlapping searches documents the undue search burden if they were searched together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR §1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Smith, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6043. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.

Art Unit: 1631

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner Tina Plunkett whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

December 23, 2002

John J. IV Custa Abdin H. Marschel Primary examilier

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier)

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections <u>within the time period set in the attached Office communication</u>. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.